

“Case of cruelty, or compassion”

Name

Lakes Community High School

Levine-Gronningsater, A. (2005). “Case of cruelty, or compassion?” *Christian Science Monitor*,  
Retrieved from <http://sks.sirs.com>

This article details the pros and cons of animal euthanasia, especially in regards to PETA’s involvement and stance on the subject. It states that PETA believes that animals should be put down to lessen cruelty, on the grounds that no kill shelters fill up quickly leaving people no alternative than to abandon their pets. On the other hand it counters with explaining that organizations trust PETA to find homes for animals and if they rather euthanize them they are no better than regular shelters and do not actually help animals.

The source will provide information supporting my argument and it gives me multiple reasons PETA is not cruelty free or affective. It also gives an opposite viewpoint to my previous article and helps back up my argument rather than building the counterargument.

Although the article explains both sides of the argument it gives stronger reasoning against PETA after explaining that certain animal shelters no longer trust PETA to take care of their animals. This strengthens my argument because it shows that PETA is not succeeding in either helping animals or being effective in getting more people to join the cause.

**\*NOTE: All sources need to be annotated, have key quotes underlined, and attach the typed S-A-R cover sheet to the top.**